

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/746,201	12/26/2000	Mark L. Bazerman	91436-299	1322	
22463 7	590 09/17/2003				
SMART ANI			EXAMINER		
SUITE 1500 B			SAX, STEVEN PAUL		
TORONTO, O CANADA	N M5G2K8		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2174	り	
		· ·	DATE MAILED: 09/17/2003)	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.





Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/746,201

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Steve Sax

Art Unit 2174

Bazerman et al

		Ш		Ш	

The MAILING DATE of this communication annually					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the Period for Reply	cover sheet with the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION					
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event mailing date of this communication. 	however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the				
 If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statute. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will e. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply, will, by statute, cause the applica. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this commeaned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	ry minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. spire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.				
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This action is r	non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Que	for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is ayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-27</u>	is/are pending in the application.				
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
	is/are allowed.				
6) (X) Claim(s) 1-27	is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s)	is/are objected to				
8) Claims Application Peners	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement				
Application Papers	and/or election requirement.				
9) \square The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are a) [a	accepted or b) objected to by the Evaning				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawings	s) he held in abeyongs Con 27 CED 4 OF 4				
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this O	ffice action.				
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority un	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f)				
a) □ All b) □ Some* c) □ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been r	eceived.				
Certified copies of the priority documents have been r	eceived in Application No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Russia (PCT)	s have been received in this National Stage				
See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certifie	d copies not received.				
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).				
and the translation of the foreign language provisional applica	tion has been received				
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.				
1) V Notice of Reference Of August and					
21 Notice of Dectarround Day of Dectarround	rview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)				
The Notice of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 3 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6 Uther:					
	or:				

Application/Control Number: 09/746201 Page 2

Art Unit: 2174

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. This application has been examined.
- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Planas et al (6112015) and McIntyre et al (6229538).
- 4. Regarding claim 1, Planas et al shows a method for representing network link and connection information in a graphical user interface suitable for network management (column 2 lines 14-30) including: receiving information about network link state and connection status in a network (column 4 lines 35-60), operating an output to represent each link in a first state as a line with first visual characteristics and in a second state as a line having a second and different visual characteristic (column 5 lines 13-35 and 48-65, Figures 2B, 2G, 4A, 21C-D), representing a connection on a given network link as a line having a third visual characteristic different from the first two (Figures 21C-D and column 5 lines 48-65) such that when the link is in one state the line

Application/Control Number: 09/746201

Page 3

Art Unit: 2174

completely covers the line representing the network link (Figure 7, column 9 lines 1-10 and 42-50). Planas et al do not specifically show that in a second state the line representing the connection does not completely cover the line representing the network link, but do show other visual changes to the line such as dashed or dotted (Figure 7, Figure 21C, column 8 lines 45-65) for visual distinction. Furthermore, McIntyre et al show a different connection state being represented by not completely covering a line (Figure 13, column 15 lines 20-33) for visual distinction. It would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art to have this in Planas et al, because it would allow a convenient visual distinction.

- 5. Rgarding claim 2, in addition to the aforementioned, McIntyre et al in fact shows the partial covering (Figure 13, column 15 lines 20-33). This is what was referred to in paragraph 1 of this Office Action, and the obviousness is the same.
- 6. Regarding claim 3, Planas et al show that the margin of a line is visible (Figure 21C element 230).
- 7. Regarding claim 4, Planas et al do not specifically state how the states are in or out of service and represented by the visual line changes per se, but do visually show multiple various connection situations (Figure 21E, Figure 5, column 8 lines 39-55). Furthermore, McIntyre et al do show visual line changes to represent in or out of service (Figures 13-14, column 16 lines 11-

Application/Control Number: 09/746201

Art Unit: 2174

40) to visually show multiple various connection situations. It owuld have been obvious to a

person with ordinary skill in the art to have this in Planas et al, because it would allow a

convenient way to visually show multiple various connection situations.

8. Regarding claim 5, Planas et al show the different characteristics as being broken, or

thicker (Figures 21E-F).

9. Regarding claim 6, Planas et al and McIntyre et al do not specifically show the various

levels of thickness for three states, but do Planas et al for example do show multiple line

colorings and shadings to distinguish three states (Figures 21E-F). Official Notice is taken that

lines can be drawn with three different thicknesses. It would have been obvious to a person with

ordinary skill in the art to have three thicknesses for three states in Planas et al, because it would

be a convenient way to distinguish three states.

10. Regarding claim 7, Planas et al show the third state may be represented by a different

pattern, the dashed line (Figure 21E).

11. Regarding claim 8, Planas et al show the characteristics may be all different colors

(Figure 21E).

Page 4

Art Unit: 2174

- 12. Claims 9-14 show the same features as above and are rejected for the same reasons.
- 13. Regarding claims 15-17, Planas et al show red broken line, yellow and green solid lines which may be thick or thin (Figure 21E, colum 16 lines 20-45).
- 14. Claims 18-26 show the same features as above and are rejected for the same reasons.
- 15. Regarding claim 27, Planas et al show the display of connections is different than that of the elinks (column 5 lines 40-60).
- 16. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Steve Sax at telephone number (703) 305-9582.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steve Sax whose telephone number is (703) 305-9582. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kristine Kincaid, can be reached on (703) 308-0640.

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are as follows:

(703) 746-7238

After Final Communication

Application/Control Number: 09/746201

Page 6

Art Unit: 2174

(703) 746-7239

Official Communication

(703) 746-7420

For Status Inquiries, draft communication

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

STEVEN SAX PRIMARY EXAMINER